
MEMORANDUM

To: Mayor and City Council

From: Michael Smith, Director of Public Works

Date: 5/14/2012

Subject: **Discussion of Contract for Final Design-Tilly Mill at North Peachtree Intersection Improvements**

ITEM DESCRIPTION

Discussion of Contract for Final Design for Tilly Mill Road at North Peachtree Intersection Improvements

BACKGROUND

The City's Comprehensive Transportation Plan, adopted in 2011, identified improvement of the intersection of Tilly Mill Road and North Peachtree Road as a high priority project. Shortly after the plan's adoption, Public Works issued a Request for Proposals to provide conceptual and final design for this project. Sixteen proposals were received and evaluated by staff from Public Works and Community Development. Based on factors including project understanding, scope, schedule, experience and cost, Gresham Smith and Partners was identified as the highest ranked firm for this project. The selection team was particularly impressed with Gresham Smith's innovation, public involvement plan, and attention to sustainability. A summary of the evaluation results is attached to this memo.

After selecting Gresham Smith, the City elected to only contract for the conceptual design work at a cost of \$48,000 and see how the project developed before authorizing final design. As this was below the \$50,000 threshold, this contract was not presented to Council. Now that the conceptual design has been developed, staff has negotiated the total fee for concept and final design down from the originally proposed \$175,000 to \$150,000.

FUNDING

The capital budget includes \$150,000 for this project. No additional funding is required.

RECOMMENDED ACTION

Staff respectfully requests that Council amend the existing contract with Gresham Smith and Partners to add final design at a cost of \$102,000 bringing the total contract amount to \$150,000.

lan Development for the Intersection of North Peachtree

| Firm | Individual Ratings | | | | Total | Rank | Proposed Cost |
|---|--------------------|------|------|--|-------|-----------|---------------|
| | MS | JO | RM | | | | |
| GRESHAM SMITH AND PARTNERS | 90 | 91.5 | 89 | | 270.5 | 1 | \$ 175,000 |
| WOLVERTON | 89 | 84 | 91.5 | | 264.5 | 2 | \$ 78,860 |
| THE LPA GROUP INCORPORATED | 88 | 71 | 83 | | 242.0 | 3 | \$ 172,000 |
| HEATH & LINEBACK INCORPORATED | 85 | 72 | 79.5 | | 236.5 | 4 | \$ 63,100 |
| URS CORPORATION | 79 | 81 | 76 | | 236.0 | 5 | \$ 214,775 |
| SHAW ENVIRONMENTAL & INFRASTRUCTURE, INC. | 77 | 82 | 74 | | 233.0 | 6 | \$ 174,100 |
| ARCADIS | 83 | 78 | 68.5 | | 229.5 | 7 | \$ 192,488 |
| POND & COMPANY | 82 | 65 | 80 | | 227.0 | 8 | \$ 115,450 |
| COLUMBIA ENGINEERING AND SERVICES, INC. | 75 | 81.5 | 68 | | 224.5 | 9 | \$ 230,501 |
| KIMLEY-HORN AND ASSOCIATES, INC. | 78 | 73.3 | 73 | | 224.3 | 10 | \$ 224,797 |
| MORELAND ALTOBELLI ASSOCIATES, INC. | 78 | 77.5 | 68.5 | | 224.0 | 11 | \$ 126,371 |
| AMERICAN ENGINEERS, INC. | 78 | 66.5 | 75.5 | | 220.0 | 12 | \$ 125,000 |
| MACTEC ENGINEERING AND CONSULTING, INC. | 76 | 76.5 | 62 | | 214.5 | 13 | \$ 69,000 |
| LAI ENGINEERING | 75 | 67 | 71.5 | | 213.5 | 14 | \$ 175,670 |
| KECK & WOOD, INC. | 79 | 60.5 | 65 | | 204.5 | 15 | \$ 98,000 |
| AYERS ASSOCIATES, INC. | 70 | 63 | 63.5 | | 196.5 | 16 | \$ 194,501 |