

---

**MEMORANDUM**

**To:** Mayor and City Council

**From:** Michael Smith, Director of Public Works

**Date:** 5/29/2012

**Subject:** **Approval of Contract for Final Design-Tilly Mill at North Peachtree Intersection Improvements**

---

**ITEM DESCRIPTION**

Approval of a contract with Gresham Smith and Partners for final design of Tilly Mill Road at North Peachtree Intersection Improvements.

**BACKGROUND**

The City's Comprehensive Transportation Plan, adopted in 2011, identified improvement of the intersection of Tilly Mill Road and North Peachtree Road as a high priority project. Shortly after the plan's adoption, Public Works issued a Request for Proposals to provide conceptual and final design for this project. Sixteen proposals were received and evaluated by staff from Public Works and Community Development. Based on factors including project understanding, scope, schedule, experience and cost, Gresham Smith and Partners was identified as the highest ranked firm for this project. The selection team was particularly impressed with Gresham Smith's innovation, public involvement plan, and attention to sustainability. A summary of the evaluation results is attached to this memo.

After selecting Gresham Smith, the City elected to only contract for the conceptual design work at a cost of \$48,000 and see how the project developed before authorizing final design. As this was below the \$50,000 threshold, this contract was not presented to Council. Now that the conceptual design has been developed, staff has negotiated the total fee for concept and final design down from the originally proposed \$175,000 to \$150,000.

**FUNDING**

The capital budget includes \$150,000 for this project. No additional funding is required.

**RECOMMENDED ACTION**

Staff respectfully requests that Council amend the existing contract with Gresham Smith and Partners to add final design at a cost of \$102,000 bringing the total contract amount to \$150,000.

lan Development for the Intersection of North Peachtree

Firm	Individual Ratings				Total	Rank	Proposed Cost
	MS	JO	RM				
GRESHAM SMITH AND PARTNERS	90	91.5	89		270.5	1	\$ 175,000
WOLVERTON	89	84	91.5		264.5	2	\$ 78,860
THE LPA GROUP INCORPORATED	88	71	83		242.0	3	\$ 172,000
HEATH & LINEBACK INCORPORATED	85	72	79.5		236.5	4	\$ 63,100
URS CORPORATION	79	81	76		236.0	5	\$ 214,775
SHAW ENVIRONMENTAL & INFRASTRUCTURE, INC.	77	82	74		233.0	6	\$ 174,100
ARCADIS	83	78	68.5		229.5	7	\$ 192,488
POND & COMPANY	82	65	80		227.0	8	\$ 115,450
COLUMBIA ENGINEERING AND SERVICES, INC.	75	81.5	68		224.5	9	\$ 230,501
KIMLEY-HORN AND ASSOCIATES, INC.	78	73.3	73		224.3	10	\$ 224,797
MORELAND ALTOBELLI ASSOCIATES, INC.	78	77.5	68.5		224.0	11	\$ 126,371
AMERICAN ENGINEERS, INC.	78	66.5	75.5		220.0	12	\$ 125,000
MACTEC ENGINEERING AND CONSULTING, INC.	76	76.5	62		214.5	13	\$ 69,000
LAI ENGINEERING	75	67	71.5		213.5	14	\$ 175,670
KECK & WOOD, INC.	79	60.5	65		204.5	15	\$ 98,000
AYERS ASSOCIATES, INC.	70	63	63.5		196.5	16	\$ 194,501