## MEMORANDUM

To: $\quad$ Mayor and City Council
From: $\quad$ Michael Smith, Public Works Director

Date: July 12, 2021

Subject: $\quad$ Approval of a Contract with Practical Design Partners for Design of a Shared-Use Path on Tilly Mill Road

## BACKGROUND

The city's transportation plan recommends bicycle and pedestrian improvements on Tilly Mill Road between Mount Vernon Road and Womack Road and Special Purpose Local Option Sales Tax (SPLOST) funding has been included in this year's budget for design of this project. Most of this section of Tilly Mill Road has relatively few driveways and side street crossings making a shared-use sidepath feasible. The path would link to existing bike lanes on Tilly Mill Road and Womack Road adjacent to Georgia State's campus and to a planned shared-use path on Mount Vernon Road.

The city recently issued a Request for Proposals (RFP 21-06) to design the shared-use path (https://www.dunwoodyga.gov/Home/Components/RFP/RFP/36/69). Seven proposals were received and evaluated based on the qualifications and experience of each firm and the personnel proposed to be assigned to the project. After considering qualifications, cost proposals were opened and considered as part of the final ranking of each firm. Based on these considerations, the highest rated proposal was submitted by Practical Design Partners, LLC (PDP). A summary of the proposal scoring and the unit price schedules are provided on the following pages. Final negotiations are underway and the contract will be presented for approval at the July $26{ }^{\text {th }}$ City Council Meeting.

4800 Ashford Dunwoody Road
Dunwoody, Georgia 30338
dunwoodyga.gov | 678.382.6700

|  | American <br> Engineers | Atlas | Keck <br>  <br> Wood | Kennedy <br> Engineering | Mott <br> McDonald | NV5 | Practical <br> Design <br> Partners |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IS | 74 | 64 | 70 | 57 | 68 | 69 | 71 |
| MDS | 64 | 55 | 63 | 53 | 61 | 55 | 65 |
| Qualifications | 69 | 59.5 | 66.5 | 55 | 64.5 | 62 | 68 |
| Average | 69 | 6 | 17 | 17 | 0 | 20 | 20 |
| Cost Score | 15 | 65.5 | 83.5 | 72 | 64.5 | 82 | 88 |
| Total Score | 84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Final | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| Ranking |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

